

1	6/14/21
2	Meeting Minutes
3	5:30PM
4	
5	Present Members: Steve Panish, Virginia Long, Karen Golab, Deborah McCormack, Robert
6	Weiss, Wayne Sylvester
7	
8	Absent Members: Cynthia Wyatt
9	
10	Staff Present: Julius Peel, Land Use Department
11	
12	Members of the Public:
13	
14	Special Meeting Notice – Electronic
15	
16	1. 5:301PM – CONVENE MEETING
17	2. Ascertain who is present.
18	Karen Golab noted that Cynthia Wyatt has requested a leave of absence. Under
19	article IV, section B, Karen Golab will be active chair until Cynthia returns. K.
20	Golab appointed Virginia Long as a member of the board for the meeting tonight
21	to fill in the empty commissioner seat. The Commission discussed the topic and
22	confirmed the members of the commission.
23	
24	The Commission does a final roll call.
25	
26	3. Review and approval May 10, 2021, Meeting Minutes
27	
28	Deb McCormack noted a typo on line 3, update instead of updated. V. Long noted
29	the changes that she had sent to Julius Peel prior to the meeting.
30	
31	Virginia long motioned to approve the May 10 th meeting minutes with changes.
32	Wayne Seconds. The vote is unanimous approve the meeting minutes of my 10 th ,
33	<u>2021.</u>
34	
35	Steve Panish arrived at 5:36PM.
36	
37	4. Review and discuss Jones Brook project
38	V. Long and S. Panish explained that they had visited the Jones Brook site and
39	took pictures of the location. K. Golab wanted to review those photos and present

40 41

42

58

64

65

66

67 68

69

70 71 72

73 74



- their findings to the commission to determine how they should continue with the property.
- V. Long introduced to the commission a site map of the location and explained to 43 the board the route they had taken around the property. She explained the 44 difficulty of traversing the property and clarified that the walk was over an hour 45 long. S. Panish explained to the board the difficulty was equitable to traversing a 46 young forest with plenty of poison ivy. After the two described the difficulty 47 traversing the location and the extent of the growth on the property, the 48 commission reviewed the photos that were taken. S. Panish explained that it 49 would take an extensive amount of work to clean up the excess growth and turn 50 the entire parcel into a walkable trail for low impact recreation. He clarified that 51 this would be essentially starting a project from scratch and would be a large task 52 for the commission to do alone. He noted that there were signs of people visiting 53 the location but did not know what they were doing at the site. The commission 54 noted strong conservation efforts would be necessary but expressed that the 55 property did have plenty of natural incentives that may draw members of the 56 57 community like hiking and fishing.
- 59 S. Panish explained that the history of the location is essential to understanding 60 how the lot looks. He noted that he remembers a large history of invasive species 61 on the lot but was unable to find any evidence while he was there. He mentioned 62 the previous excavation site that was on the property and explained that at one 63 point the Strafford County had preserved this location.
 - W. Sylvester asked who owned the parcel of land across the roadway. V. Long noted that Ari Goldenberg was the owner across the roadway and was attempting to start an excavation permit for the site.
 - W. Sylvester read a message that was sent to him from Les Elder regarding signage at the location.
 - K. Golab asked the commissioners to explain their opinion about the property and how they should proceed with maintaining it.
- 75B. Weiss explained that he thinks that this location has too much work for the76commission to do alone. He explained that he thinks that the site walk was not77enough to show the extensive amount of work on the property. He asked for a

87

92

93

94 95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104 105

106

107

108 109

110

111 112

113

116



- map so that he could visit the location again and Virginia noted that she can send
 the map electronically to the commissioners.
- W. Sylvester said that signs should be placed on the lot and noted that this
 location is apart of a bigger project. He explained that at some point in time the
 six of them cannot preserve every location in the town of Milton. If he was
 ranking the locations, Casey should be first, Teneriffe should be second and Jones
 Brook should be third. V. Long noted that the Teneriffe mountain project
 mentioned previously is owned by MMRG and it is their responsibility to review.
- K. Golab recommended that the commission propose to the board of selectman a
 committee that would be responsible for maintaining the location. She noted the
 importance of having the direct line to the board of selectman to continue this
 project.
 - D. McCormack explained that she agreed with the commission so far but noted that the new committee would want to start by tackling the pond first as it is a smaller section of the whole project.
 - S. Panish explained that the goal of this location would be an easy access fishing location. He thinks that this would boost the popularity of the location for the community. He thinks that they should reach out to the volunteers that John had in mind to complete this project. He thinks the only issue is enforcing rules, so that this location is preserved and not trashed. He noted the increased work and that the commission does not have enough money to maintain this property with their current budget. He explained that the location could be an expensive and would require a major cleanup project just to reach the fishing locations.
 - V. Long explained that the location, in addition to fishing, might be a large enough location for a dog walking site. The commission discussed the size of the lot and noted that if they are just using the less overgrown section of the property then the distance would be roughly half a mile and might not be suitable for just a trailhead for dogs. She explained that the location also had a large number of birds for potential bird watching. She also noted that a sign stating the location is something that should be completed.
- 114 K. Golab explained that the location could be a five-year project for a committee 115 that presents its budget to the board of selectman.



117		
118		The commission spoke about the topic and discussed the opportunity of
119		establishing separate committee. They decided that reaching out to the volunteers
120		should be the start. They commission noted that they are not sure how enthusiastic
121		all the volunteers are to dedicate to this location. W. Sylvester noted that the
122		Board of Selectman will most likely want to see the CC oversee the project other
123		then a fully independent location.
124		
125		The commission noted some other volunteers that might be interested in helping
126		at the location. They stated that the commission would be responsible with
127		starting the committee if they begin the process of reaching out to the individuals.
128		The commission agreed that they would create a letter and bring this information
129		to the board of selectman to complete prior to the budget cycle beginning.
130		
131	5.	Updates on Trout Unlimited project
132		V. Long noted that there were no updates on this project.
133		
134	6.	Follow Up on MCC role for helping meet MS4 mandates.
135		Julius Peel explained that MS4 Is being overseen by the Board of Selectman and
136		Bruce. V. Long noted the lack of information on the website. J. Peel explained
137		that this is an age-old project that many communities are struggling to educate
138		about.
139		
140	7.	Read letter to DES regarding Wetlands Permit on Northeast Pond Rd. into
141		record
142		K. Golab read aloud the letter written by the commission and submitted to DES
143		representative. *This can be found attached to the previous meeting minutes*
144		
145	8.	Update on 3.04-acre parcel of town owned land referenced by tax/lot 41/81
146		Notes that they are currently in a waiting game for the property. V. Long noted
147		that during a conversation with Chris Regarding the select board, in this
148		conversation he noted that the board had expressed that they did not want to place
149		the location into conservation. Chris explained that the Selectboard would not
150		approve this as a warrant article so the location would have to be placed as a
151		citizen article. Karen noted that the commission voted that they would not go
152		ahead with a citizen warrant article at the previous meeting.
153		
154	9.	Update on educational outreach committee
155		No official update.



156	
157	10. Concern about infestation by John Katwick
158	K. Golab noted that there should be some education about this topic and asked
159	Virginia long to bring this to the education sub-committee.
160	
161	11. Casey Rd update
162	V. Long explained that they had discussed the outline of the celebration.
163	
164	12. Financial report review
165	S. Panish explained that the commission received the financial report over the
166	weekend. In this he noted that nothing has changed except interest on the
167	conservation fund. He noted the TPPA for the video project fee.
168	
169	13. Wetland Permit review, if applicable
170	K. Golab and the commission reviewed the application.
171	The commission noted that D. McCormack would be sitting in for Steve Panish
172	as he is currently not sworn in.
173	
174	Wayne motions to approve this Wetlands Permit as submitted. Bob seconds. All
175	in favor. The motion passes approving the application.
176	
177	14. Shorelands Protection Permit review, if applicable
178	*None*
179	
180	15. Other business as may come before the MCC.
181	W. Sylvester explained that he went to the Lebanon Planning board committee.
182	Here he noted that the conference room was overflowing. W. Sylvester explained
183	that the application that came before the board was for a major storage unit that
184	would house boats for the lake. He explained that the TPPA are concerned about
185	the increased boat traffic. V. Long noted that there was no discussion of regional
186	impact and thinks that the commission might want to formulate a letter of concern
187	for the board. The commission decided that the MCC of Milton would want to be
188	cautious about writing letters of concern for other communities without reaching
189	out to the select board first.
190	
191	W. Sylvester explained that Jen King sent a message to him asking about the
192	quality of the water around her house when she noticed a strange discoloration.
193	W. Sylvester explained that Cyano-bacteria, blue algae, can be infectious/toxic,
194	but without testing they are unsure if this is what Jen King had noticed. To figure



Conservation Commission PO Box 310 (p)603-652-4501 (f)603-652-4120

out if this is the case, samples went out to UNH today for a toxicity report and 195 notification was submitted to DES and DEP. 196 197 Karen Golab noted that the Planning Board will be doing some rezoning on route 198 125. Julius explained that the planning board would be meeting on the 15th to 199 review the community to determine the most important location to rezone. 200 201 V. Long explained that the by-laws state that the commission can have 5 members 202 and suggests that the commission might want to increase that number to 7. The 203 Commission discussed this and explained that they would review the RSA's and 204 revisit this at the next meeting. 205 206 **16. Public Comment** 207 *No public comment* 208 17. Next meeting proposed: Monday, July12, 2021. 6PM-7:30 209 **18.** Adjournment 210 211 Virginia Long motioned to adjourn. Karen Golab seconded. The vote is 212 unanimous. The motion passes adjourning the meeting. 213 214